ILL Document Delivery



REG-13552744

GAUEMU

NLM -- W1 EX52LL (GENCOLL); Electronic Journal with ILL a

Emory University

Robert W Woodruff Health Sciences Center Library

1462 Clifton Road NE Atlanta, GA 30322

ATTN: SUBMITTED: 2005-08-25 16:42:59 PHONE: 404-727-5816 PRINTED: 2005-08-26 10:43:11

FAX: 404-727-5827 REQUEST NO.: REG-13552744

E-MAIL: SENT VIA: DOCLINE DOCLINE NO: 17910021

REG Copy JournalBEFORE: 2005-09-25

TITLE: EXPERT OPINION ON DRUG SAFETY
PUBLISHER/PLACE: Ashley Publications London:
VOLUME/ISSUE/PAGES: 2005 Jul;4(4):779-94 779-94

DATE: 2005

AUTHOR OF ARTICLE: Ulbricht C; Basch E; Boon H; Ernst E; Hammerness

P; Sollars

TITLE OF ARTICLE: Safety review of kava (Piper methysticum) by the

Ν

ISSN: 1474-0338

OTHER NUMBERS/LETTERS:

NLM Unique ID: 101163027

PubMed ID: 16011454

SOURCE: PubMed
MAX COST: \$25.00
COPYRIGHT COMP.: Guidelines

CALL NUMBER: W1 EX52LL (GENCOLL); Electronic Journal with ILL a NOTES: ARCHE; CONBLS; RLG; ARIEL PREFERRED 170.140.250.69;

REQUESTER INFO: Phylis Austin

DELIVERY: Ariel: 170.140.250.69

REPLY: Mail:

KEEP THIS RECEIPT TO RECONCILE WITH BILLING STATEMENT

For problems or questions, contact NLM at http://wwwcf.nlm.nih .gov/ill/ill_web_form.cfm or phone 301-496-5511.

Include LIBID and request number.

NOTE: THIS MATERIAL MAY BE PROTECTED BY COPYRIGHT LAW (TITLE 17, U.S. CODE)

Expert Opinion

- 1. Research methodology
- 2. Introduction
- 3. Dosing/toxicology
- Adverse effects/precautions/ contraindications
- 5. Interactions
- 6. Pharmacology
- 7. Pharmacodynamics/kinetics
- 8. Expert opinion

General

Safety review of kava (*Piper methysticum*) by the Natural Standard Research Collaboration

Catherine Ulbricht[†], Ethan Basch, Heather Boon, Edzard Ernst, Paul Hammerness, David Sollars, Candy Tsourounis,

Jen Woods & Stephen Bent

[†]Natural Standard Research Collaboration, 1 Broadway, 14th Floor, Cambridge, MA 02142, USA

This systematic review discusses the proposed uses, dosing parameters, adverse effects, toxicology, interactions and mechanism of action of kava. The widespread concern regarding the potential hepatotoxicity of kava is discussed. A recommendation is made to consolidate and analyse available reports and to continue postmarket surveillance in an international repository to prevent duplicates and promote collection of thorough details at the time of each report so that any association with kava is clearly defined.

Keywords: anxiolytic, dihydrokawain, dihydromethysticin, hepatotoxicity, herb, kava, kawain, methystici, Piper methysticum, safety, yangona

Expert Opin. Drug Saf. (2005) 4(4):779-794

1. Research methodology

1.1 Search strategy

To prepare each Natural Standard review, electronic searches are conducted in nine databases, including AMED, CANCERLIT, CINAHL, CISCOM, the Cochrane Library, EMBASE, HerbMed, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, Medline and NAPRALERT. Search terms include the common name(s), scientific name(s), and all synonyms for each topic. Hand searches are conducted of 20 additional journals (not indexed in common databases), and of bibliographies from 50 selected secondary references. No restrictions are placed on language or quality of publications. Researchers in the field of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) are consulted for access to additional references or ongoing research.

1.2 Selection criteria

All literature is collected pertaining to efficacy in humans (regardless of study design, quality or language), dosing, precautions, adverse effects, use in pregnancy/lactation, interactions, alteration of laboratory assays and mechanism of action (*in vitro*, animal research, human data). Standardised inclusion/exclusion criteria are utilised for selection.

1.3 Data analysis

Data extraction and analysis are performed by healthcare professionals conducting clinical work and/or research at academic centres, using standardised instruments that pertain to each review section (defining inclusion/exclusion criteria and analytical techniques, including validated measures of study quality). Data are verified by a second reviewer.

Ashley Publications www.ashley-pub.com



1.4 Review process

Blinded peer review is conducted by multidisciplinary research-clinical faculty at major academic centres with expertise in epidemiology and biostatistics, pharmacology, toxicology, CAM research and clinical practice. In cases of editorial disagreement, a three-member panel of the Editorial Board addresses conflicts and consults experts when applicable. Authors of studies are contacted when clarification is required.

2. Introduction

Kava beverages, made from dried roots of the perennial shrub *Piper methysticum*, have been used ceremonially and socially in the South Pacific for hundreds of years and in Europe since the 1700s [1-7]. The drink is reported to have pleasant mild psychoactive effects [8], similar to alcoholic beverages in western societies. Recreational use of kava has spread over the last 20 years to Aboriginal communities in Australia, where it is often heavily consumed in combination with alcohol [9]. In Fiji, kava is still used today during welcome ceremonies for local and international political and religious dignitaries.

Currently, pharmaceutical preparations of the herb are widely used in Europe and the US as anxiolytics, but they have recently been withdrawn in several European markets and Canada due to safety concerns (see Table 1) [1-5,7,10-13].

Several well-conducted human trials and meta-analyses [6,14,15] have demonstrated the efficacy of kava in the treatment of anxiety, with effects observed after as few as 1-2 doses, and progressive improvements over 1-4 weeks [16-18].

Oral preparations are widely recommended by European physicians and natural medicine practitioners. Reportedly, 350,000 prescriptions for kava are written in Germany each year. In the US, kava has gained popularity over the past 10 years among clinicians, with multi-million dollar sales and numerous books supporting its use. Self-medication with kava by patients in the US is prevalent. However, US physicians and pharmacists are more apt to recommend benzodiazepines to patients with anxiety due to lack of government-enforced safety or manufacturing standards for kava.

There is widespread concern regarding the potential hepatotoxicity of kava [10-13,19-26]. Many cases of liver damage have been reported in Europe, including hepatitis [27-29], cirrhosis, fulminant liver failure [30,31] and death [32,33], although some researchers have challenged these reports and maintained that kava is safe in most individuals at recommended doses [34,35]. The German BfArM (the German Federal Institute for Drugs and Medical Devices), a division of the Ministry of Health, reported a total of 82 case reports related to kava. Of these, one case of acute necrotic hepatitis was possibly related to kava intake with review conform dosage [19], one case of cholestatic hepatitis was possibly related to kava intake with overdosing [19], and one liver transplant due to hepatitis was also possibly related to kava intake with overdosing [19]. Of the 82 reports, several duplicate or triplicate patient reports were issued. For

instance, from 1990 to 2002, 5 patients reported 11 case reports. Also, 20 case reports were unrelated to kava, 21 were probably connected to concomitant medication, 7 were doubtfully related to kava, and 31 reports did not have sufficient documentation to link kava to the reported adverse effects [36]. This remains an area of controversy, and it is unclear whether or not the safety profile of kava is comparable to other agents used in the management of anxiety (see Table 1).

The FDA issued a letter on 18 December, 2001, stating that it was investigating whether or not kava-containing products are a health concern. The FDA noted 26 cases of liver toxicity in Germany and Switzerland, including one fatality and one liver transplant that were reportedly associated with kava products. In 2002, the US Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) issued a report on hepatotoxicity associated with kava-containing products. On March 25, 2002, the FDA warned that kava may be linked to serious liver damage, including hepatitis, cirrhosis, and at least four urgent liver transplants. A letter was also issued urging healthcare professionals to review cases of liver toxicity to determine if they were associated with kava.

Kava is still available in the US, although the FDA has issued warnings to consumers and physicians [37-39]. It is not clear what dose or duration of use is correlated with the risk of liver damage. The quality of these case reports has been variable; several are vague, describe use of products that do not actually list kava as an ingredient, or include patients who also ingest large quantities of alcohol. Nonetheless, caution is warranted.

In May 2004, the Scientific Advisery Panel on Heptatoxicity in Canada made draft recommendations concerning the 'Recommendations from the Scientific Advisery Panel subgroups on Hepatotoxicity: Hepatotoxicity of Health Products'. This group was prompted and established after concerns were raised about four Canadian cases of liver toxicity associated with kava. The cases were reported in February 2002 to the Health Product Safety Information Division. Health Canada banned preparations containing kava-kava in August 2002 (see Table 1).

Sales of products and preparations containing kava have been suspended in Germany, Switzerland, Australia and France, and such products have been withdrawn in Spain. In Japan, no new drug products containing kava-kava have been approved. In New Zealand, authorities recommend that labels should warn against the possibility of liver damage. Recently, the Medicine's and Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (MHRA) in the UK launched a 12-week public consultation process for interested parties to submit evidence and representations as to whether or not the prohibition of kava should continue.

Chronic or heavy use of kava has also been associated with cases of neurotoxicity, pulmonary hypertension and dermatological changes. Most human trials have been shorter than two months, with the longest study being six months in duration [40]. Studies evaluating the effect of Kava on cognitive performance and mood were also recently conducted [32].

2.1 Historical or theoretical indications which lack sufficient evidence

Analgesic, anaesthesia [41], anorexia, anticonvulsant [42,43], antifungal, antipsychotic [41,44], aphrodisiac, arthritis, asthma, brain damage, bust enhancement, cancer [45], cerebral ischaemia, contraception, colds, depression, diuretic, dizziness, filariasis, gastrointestinal (GI) disorders, genitourinary disorders, gonorrhoea, haemorrhoids, infections, jet lag, kidney disorders, leprosy, menopausal/menstrual disorders [46], rheumatism, sleep disturbances, migraine, muscle relaxant [47], neuroprotective effects against ischaemia, otitis, pain, spasm, syphilis, toothache, tuberculosis, venereal disease, weight reduction and wound healing have all had historical or theoretical indications linking them with kava-containing products, but these have not been supported with sufficient evidence.

3. Dosing/toxicology

3.1 General

Recommended doses are based on those most commonly used in available trials, and/or on historical practice. However, with natural products the optimal dose to balance efficacy and safety is often not established. Preparation of products may vary from manufacturer to manufacturer, and from batch to batch within one manufacturer. Because it is often not clear what the active components of a product are, standardisation may not be possible, and the clinical effects of different brands may not be comparable.

3.2 Standardisation

Multiple formulations are available, including dried rhizome, cold macerate, fluid extract, dry extract and soft native extract. Kava extract is typically standardised to 30% kava lactones. The actual lactone content of the root varies between 3 – 20%. Many brands use the standardised preparation WS 1490.

A review published in 2000 by www.consumerreports.org of standardised kava brands in the US, found actual (measured) and labelled amounts of kava lactones to be approximately equivalent in 13 products that listed amounts of constituents. Kava lactones per tablet/capsule ranged from 50 to 110 mg. Two brands that did not label amounts of constituents contained 10 – 15 mg lactones per tablet/capsule.

In Australia, the Therapeutic Goods Administration has placed a limit on the amount of kava permitted per dosage form (i.e., 125 mg kavalactones per tablet/capsule, a 3g limit of dried rhizome in tea bags) and all kava products were to comply with a maximum daily dose of not more than 250 mg of kavalactone.

3.3 Adult dosing (≥ 18 years of age)

Safety concerns should be carefully reviewed prior to considering therapy with this agent.

The 300 mg/day of kava extract (standardised to WS 1490) in three divided doses is a regimen reported as efficacious and well-tolerated in multiple clinical trials [48-52]. Typical usage ranges from 70 to 280 mg kava lactones/day as a single bedtime dose or divided doses (60 – 120 mg of kavapyrones/day) has also been recommended. Many practitioners start at a lower dose and titrate up as needed. Doses as high as 800 mg/day of kava extract have been tolerated for short periods, but have not been extensively studied. A daily dosage of up to 250 mg kavapyrone is also common.

3.3.1 Anxiety disorder (associated sleep disorder)

Kava special extract WS 1490 has been studied in sleep disturbances associated with nonpsychotic anxiety disorders at daily doses of 200 mg [53]. Some experts have suggested a dose of 120 – 210 mg kavapyrone daily for a maximum of 2 months.

3.3.2 Enhanced mood

Preliminary evidence suggests that a single oral dose of 300 mg of kava extract may lead to an increase in cheerfulness [32].

3.3.3 Generalised anxiety disorder

400 mg of kava-kava LI150 daily has been shown to be well-tolerated and as effective as both buspirone and opipramol in the acute treatment of out-patients with generalised anxiety disorder [17].

3.3.4 Neurotoxic anxiety

A dose of 50 mg daily of kava special extract WS 1490 has been shown to be well-tolerated in patients with neurotoxic anxiety, with no influence on liver function [54]. The only adverse effect reported in this study was tiredness.

3.3.5 Nonpsychotic anxiety

A dose of 50 mg of kava special extract WS 1490, administered three-times daily has been used to treat patients with nonpsychotic anxiety without adverse effects [16].

3.4 Pregnancy and lactation

Kava has not been systematically studied during pregnancy or lactation. Use is discouraged during pregnancy due to possible decreases in uterine tone and during lactation due to the possibility of pyrone transport into milk (with unknown effects) [8].

3.5 Paediatric dosing (< 18 years of age)

There is insufficient available safety or efficacy data.

Adverse effects/precautions/ contraindications

4.1 Allergy

Allergic skin reactions have been reported, including systemic/contact-type dermatitis, sebotropic reactions, and generalised erythema with papules following 2-3 weeks of use [55-57].

4.2 Adverse effects

4.2.1 General

In recommended doses over short periods of time (< 1 -2 months), kava has traditionally been regarded as safe and well-tolerated. A poorly designed drug monitoring study of 4049 patients taking 105 mg/day of a 75% kavalactone extract for 7 weeks found side effects in 1.5% of cases, primarily GI complaints or allergic rashes (no serological measurements were done) [58]. A 4-week study of 3029 patients given 800 mg/day of 30% kavalactone extract reported side effects in 2.3% of subjects, including GI distress, allergic rash and mild headache. Severe adverse effects have been observed with chronic and/or heavy use. In one comparison study, intoxicated kava drinkers (who consumed 205g of kava powder (~ 140-times clinical doses) were compared with a control group. The intoxicated subjects showed ataxia, tremors, sedation, blepharospasm and elevated liver enzymes (γ-glutamyl transferase [GGT] and alkaline phosphatase), together with saccadic dysmetria, saccadic slowing, and reduced accuracy performing a visual search task [59-61]. The LD50 of kavalactones is $\sim 300 - 400$ mg/kg, according to animal studies [62]. The safety of kava use remains unclear; clinicians and patients should understand the risks involved prior to considering the use of this agent.

4.2.2 Cardiovascular

Tachycardia and electrocardiogram abnormalities (tall P waves) have been reported in heavy kava users [63]. It has been theorised that these P wave abnormalities reflect pulmonary hypertension, although study is lacking in this area. Chronic kava consumption has been associated with sudden death in Aboriginal Australians in Arnhem Land, an area where poor diets and coronary heart disease are common among community members [64,65].

4.2.3 Dermatological

Chronic use of kava in large quantities may cause dry, scaly skin or yellow skin discoloration, commonly referred to as 'kava dermopathy' (or called kani in Fiji) [66]. This type of dermopathy appears to be reversible upon discontinuation of kava. Because kava dermopathy may mimic the signs and symptoms of liver disease (also a concern with kava use), a thorough clinical assessment is warranted. Although thought to be related to reduced B vitamin uptake or assimilation, improvement has not been found after niacin supplementation [67]. Cases have been reported of systemic/contact-type dermatitis, sebotropic reactions and generalised erythema with papules following short-term use (2-3 weeks) [55-57]. Kava dermopathy is believed to result from large doses [68].

4.2.4 Gastrointestinal (hepatotoxicity)

There is growing concern regarding the potential hepatotoxicity of kava [19]. One study found that herbal and dietary supplements were potential hepatotoxins in a high proportion of patients with fulminant hepatic failure at the Division of

Liver/Pancreas Transplantation, Oregon Health & Science University. More than 30 cases of liver damage have been reported, including hepatitis [27-29,69], cirrhosis, fulminant liver failure [30,31] and reports of death [32,33] The FDA issued warnings to consumers and physicians [37-39], and requested that US physicians report cases of hepatotoxicity that may be related to kava use. It is not clear what dose or duration of use is correlated with the risk of liver damage. The quality of these case reports has been variable; several are vague, describe use of products that do not actually list kava as an ingredient, or include patients who also ingest large quantities of alcohol. Nonetheless, caution is warranted. Published case reports include a 39 year-old woman taking kava, who developed acute hepatitis with confluent necrosis [70]. The product was not analysed for contaminants, and other causes of hepatitis were not ruled out. A 60 year-old woman required liver transplant due to fulminant hepatic failure, attributed to kava use (via process-of-elimination) [31]. In heavy Aboriginal kava users (mean 440g/week), GGT levels have been found to be significantly increased [63], although causality is not clear [9]. Two cases reported from Switzerland involved one specific brand (Leitan®, Schwabe). In November 2001, the BfArM announced that based on 24 cases of kava-associated hepatotoxicity (one death, three transplants) it is considering regulation of available kava dosing, to allow only low, 'proven-safe' doses [1]. Another study found that pipermethystine, found mostly in leaves and stem peelings, and kavalactones may contribute to rare, but severe hepatotoxic reactions to kava [71].

4.2.5 Gastrointestinal (GI discomfort)

Gastrointestinal upset, including epigastric pain and nausea, have been reported as an infrequent adverse event in trials [50-52.72].

4.2.6 Haematological

Chronic and heavy use has been associated with increased red blood cell volume, reduced platelet volume, reduced lymphocyte counts and reduced serum albumin [61,63]. Haematuria has also been reported anecdotally, with unclear association to haematological parameters [63]. Racemic kavain, present in kava preparations, has been shown to have antiplatelet effects due to cyclooxygenase inhibition and inhibition of thromboxane synthesis in animals [73].

4.2.7 Musculoskeletal

A case of rhabdomyolysis has been reported in a 29 year-old man after self-administration of an herbal combination of ginkgo, guarana and kava [74]. The causal role of kava in this case is unclear.

4.2.8 Neurological/CNS (sedation)

It remains unclear to what extent clinically relevant sedation occurs at recommended doses, and it has been theorised that kava selectively acts on limbic structures, promoting anxiolysis without clinically relevant sedation [75]. Sedation

has been occasionally reported anecdotally, in case reports, and in trials, although preliminary evidence from three small human studies suggests lack of neurological-psychological impairment [76-78]. No effect of kava on motor vehicle driving performance was found in two double-blind, placebo-controlled trials [79,80]. In California, there were two cases of drivers arrested for 'driving under the influence' after ingesting kava tea; neither case resulted in successful prosecution. In a case report, a 54 year-old man experienced lethargy and disorientation for several hours after taking recommended doses of kava for three days, in addition to alprazolam, cimetidine and terazosin. However, the independent contribution of kava is unclear [81]. In one study, tracking tasks (maintaining a pointer between parallel lines) and reaction time tasks (response by pressing correct key) were measured as indicators of cognitive performance and physiological function [82]. Results showed no statistically significant differences between kava and placebo. Another randomised controlled trial found no conclusive evidence that kava interferes with normal cognitive processes [59,60]. In a small, double-blind, placebo-controlled comparison trial with the European benzodiazepine clobazam, synthetic kavain was found to improve intellectual performance, attention, concentration, reaction time and motor speed reaction time, whereas clobazam produced the opposite results [83]. Similar results were seen in a small trial comparing kava extract standardised to 30% kavalactones versus diazepam and placebo [77]. Kava may also cause adverse neurological effects and cause excessive perioperative sedation. Such a reaction may be due to benzodiazepine and antidepressant activities on noradrenergic and/or serotoninergic pathways that may potentiate benzodiazepine and induction anesthetic potency [84]. A recent review suggests possible effects of kava upon electroconvulsive therapy outcome [85].

4.2.9 Neurological/CNS (extrapyramidal)

Several cases of extrapyramidal side effects (central dopamine antagonism) [44] have been reported after short-term use (1 – 4 days), including torticollis, oculogyric crisis and oral dyskinesias in young to middle-aged people, serious exacerbations of Parkinsonian symptoms [86,87] and three episodes of generalised choreoathetosis (abnormal body movements) following ingestion of high-dose kava in a 27 year-old Aboriginal man [88]. Tremor and malcoordination, as well as headache, drowsiness and fatigue have been reported as infrequent events in clinical trials and surveys, particularly in association with large oral doses of kava [52,63]. Neurological manifestations seem uncommon after kava consumption. A 2002 case report cited three cases of meningismus, two of them with focal neurological manifestations after kava absorption [89].

4.2.10 Ocular

Anecdotally, accommodative (ocular) disturbances have rarely been associated with continuous kava use. There is one case report of impaired accommodation and convergence, increased pupil diameter and oculomotor disturbance following one-time use [90]. Eye irritation has been reported with heavy consumption [67].

4.2.11 Psychiatric

Apathy has been associated with traditional heavy kava use [41].

4.2.12 Pulmonary/respiratory

In one study, shortness of breath was reported in 72% of heavy Aboriginal kava users versus 39% of non-users. Pulmonary hypertension has been proposed as a possible aetiology, based on tall P waves on the electrocardiograms of kava users [63].

4.2.13 Renal/genitourinary

There has been a single case report of rhabdomyolysis in a 29 year-old man after self-administration of an herbal combination of ginkgo, guarana and kava [74]. It is not clear if renal failure occurred. The causal role of kava in this case is unclear. Acute urinary retention secondary to kava ingestion has also been reported [91].

5. Interactions

- Alcohol: Animal studies have demonstrated marked increases in the hypnosedative effects of alcohol when taken with kava [92,93]. However, this effect has not been confirmed in healthy human volunteers [80];
- Anaesthetics: Kava may prolong the sedative action of anaesthesia due to presumed monoamine oxidase inhibitor-like action. Although numerous anecdotal reports have circulated, no clinical research has confirmed this interaction [94]. Anaesthesiologists may recommend patients to stop taking kava 2 3 weeks prior to surgery. Kava may effect electroconvulsive therapy outcome [85];
- Anticancer agents: Kava, and other herbs that have the
 potential to modulate the activity of drug-metabolising
 enzymes, such as garlic, gingko, Echinacea and St John's
 Wort, may participate in pharmacokinetic interactions with
 anticancer drugs [95];
- Antiplatelet agents: Racemic kavain, present in kava preparations, has been shown to have antiplatelet effects due to cyclooxygenase inhibition and inhibition of thromboxane synthesis [73]. Kavalactones may interact with antiplatelet agents [96]. Effects on platelets have not been reported in humans, and clinical relevance is not clear;
- Benzodiazepines: Kava may cause adverse neurological effects and excessive perioperative sedation. Such a reaction may be due to benzodiazepine and antidepressant activities on noradrenergic and/or serotonergic pathways that may potentiate benzodiazepine and induction anaesthetic potency [84];
- Buspirone and opipramol: Kava-kava LI150 has been used in the acute treatment of out-patients suffering from generalised anxiety disorder [17]. Therefore, kava may cause additive effects when taken concomitantly with these or other agents with similar effects;

- Cytochrome P450 (1A2, 2C9, 2C19, 2D69, 3A4, 4A9/11) substrates: Preliminary evidence suggests that kava may significantly inhibit multiple cytochrome P450 enzymes [97-102];
- Diuretics: Kava may increase effects of diuretic drugs such as acetazolamide, amiloride, furosemide or ACE inhibitors such as benazepril, captopril, lisinopril, quinapril and ramipril;
- Dopamine, dopamine antagonists, dopamine agonists: Kava has been reported to antagonise the effect of dopamine and elicit extrapyramidal effects [44,86,88]. Therefore, it may interfere with the effects of dopamine or dopamine agonists and exacerbate the extrapyramidal effects of dopaminergic antagonists such as droperidol, haloperidol, risperidol and metoclopramide. Avoid in patients with Parkinson's disease or in patients with a history of medication-induced extrapyramidal effects because kava may cause additive effects;
- Hepatotoxic drugs: Concomitant use with other potentially hepatotoxic drugs such as anabolic steroids, amiodarone, methotrexate, acetaminophen and antifungal medications such as ketoconazole is generally not advised;
- Opioids: Kava may add to drowsiness caused by opioid analgesics like oxycodone and propoxyphene;
- Melatonin: Theoretically, kava and melatonin taken concomitantly may have additive sedative effects;
- · Monoamine oxidase inhibitors: The pyrone constituents of kava have been found to have weak monoamine oxidase (MAO) inhibitory properties in vitro [96]. Therefore, there may be an additive effect when used concomitantly with MAO inhibitory agents, including phenelzine, tranylcypromine, chromium, ephedra, evening primrose oil, fenugreek, Ginkgo biloba, hops, St. John's Wort, tyrosine, valerian, yohimbe, 5-hydroxytryptophan, DHEA (dehydroepiandrosterone), DLPA (DL phenylalanine), SAMc (S-adenosylmethionine), vitamin B6, and homeopathic remedies including aurum metcallicum, Kali bromatum, and sepia. Tyramine or tryptophan-containing foods may pose a risk of hypertensive crisis if eaten while taking kava, due to the monoamine oxidase inhibitory activity of kava found in vitro [96], although this interaction has not been reported in humans;
- Neuroleptics: The extrapyramidal side effects caused by neuroleptic agents may be reduced by Kava special extract WS 1490 [103];
- Sedatives/CNS depressants: Kava has been reported to occasionally cause sedation or lethargy [52,63] and it may act synergistically with benzodiazepines [81], although animal studies have revealed no interactions with benzodiazepine/GABA receptor binding [104,105]. Lethargy and disorientation were reported in a 54 year-old man taking kava in combination with alprazolam [81]. It is unclear to what extent kava might act additively or synergistically with other purported sedative herbs, such as calamus, calendula, California poppy, catnip, capsicum, celery, couch

- grass, elecampane, ginseng (Siberian), gotu kola, German chamomile, goldenseal, hops, dogwood, kava, lemon balm, sage, St. John's Wort, sassafras, scullcap, shepherd's purse, stinging nettle, valerian, wild carrot, wild lettuce, withania root, or yerba mansa. Kava may cause excessive drowsiness when taken with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors such as fluoxetine, fluvoxamine, paroxetine, sertraline. Use cautiously in patients with endogenous depression due to purported sedative activity of kava resin and the pyrones dihydrokawain and dihydromethysticin [8,106]. Kavalactones have been shown to potentiate the effects of CNS depressants such as ethanol and barbiturates, in animals with concurrent use being potentially toxic [93];
- Valerian/St. John's Wort: In a telephone survey, one woman reported nausea, diaphoresis, muscle cramping, weakness and elevated pulse and blood pressure after a single dose of combination St. John's Wort, (Hypericum perforatum), kava and valerian (Valeriana officinalis) [107].

6. Pharmacology

Kava contains pyrones, lactones (methysticin, dihydromethysticin (DMH), yangonin, dihydrokawain (DHK), kawain), flavonoids and alkaloids [108-114]. Kavalactones or kavapyrones may alter central GABA transmission, blocking voltage-gated ion channels (Na⁺ and Ca²⁺), and by means of monoamine mechanisms.

Pyrones have been noted for their anticonvulsive, spasmolytic and antimycotic effects, as well as synergistic hypnotic (barbiturate), analgesic and local anesthetic effects [115-123]. Pyrones exhibit neuroprotective [124] and 'recovery-supporting' effects on neurological deficits after cerebral infarction in animals [125]. These effects have been attributed to calcium channel agonism [126,127] sodium channel blocking [42,128,129] inhibition of monoamine oxidase [96] and inhibition of noradrenaline uptake [130]. In the rat, kava does not appear to interact with benzodiazepine/GABA receptors [104,105], although other sources suggest that kava may facilitate GABA transmission [78,131].

Kava may selectively act on limbic structures, promoting anxiolysis without sedation [75]. Neurophysiological studies with EEG have demonstrated similar activity of kava to GABA agonists [78,131].

Interactions with glutamate [129], dopamine [44], noradrenaline [130], serotonin [44,126,127] and their respective receptors may mediate the anxiolytic effect of kava. Neither high single doses nor chronic administration of kavain, from the lipophilic fraction of kava, alter dopaminergic or serotonergic tissue levels in rats [132]. Therefore, dopaminergic or serotonergic effects may reside in the water-soluble fraction of kava [133,134].

The analgesic effect of kava is not antagonised by naloxone [93,106], suggesting a mechanism unrelated to opiate receptors. In an animal model, tolerance and dependence formation have not been demonstrated [135].

Kava use has been associated with elevations of liver function tests in animals and humans [24,25,61,63]. Chronic heavy use has been paradoxically associated with decreased bilirubin [63]. Chronic heavy use of kava has been associated with decreased albumin and total protein [63]. Causality is unclear and may be due to poor nutrition in chronic kava users or hepatic damage. Chronic heavy use has been associated with decreased lymphocyte counts [61,63]. Chronic heavy use has also been associated with increased red blood corpuscle volume and reduced platelet size [63]. It is not clear if poor nutrition or iron deficiency coincides with chronic kava use, thus confounding these findings.

Haematuria of unclear aetiology has been reported anecdotally with kava use, although scientific data are scant [63].

7. Pharmacodynamics/kinetics

A psychophysiological study of kavain (Klinge Pharma, Munich, Germany) found pharmacodynamic peaks at 1-2 and 8 hours, suggesting active metabolites. Peak levels occurred at 1.8 hours, with an elimination half-life of \sim 9 hours and a distribution half-life of 50 minutes [63].

Absorption of kava root extracts may be faster than absorption of isolated lactones.

Metabolites and unchanged lactones of kava are excreted in human urine and faeces [136,137].

8. Expert opinion

Multiple studies suggest that kava lessens anxiety. However, scientific evidence does not support the use of kava for any other conditions. The safety of kava use remains unclear. Clinicians and patients should understand the risks involved prior to considering use of this agent. There have been recent reports of serious liver damage or death in people using kava. It is not clear if these problems occurred at high doses or after long-term use. Therefore, kava should only be used under the supervision of a qualified healthcare provider. Kava should never be taken at doses higher than recommended, or for longer than two months. Kava should be avoided in people with liver disease, Parkinson's disease, or lung disease, in pregnant or breastfeeding women, and in children. It should not be used in people taking monoamine oxidase inhibitors or drugs that may damage the liver or cause drowsiness.

Where the cases of liver damage connected to administration of preparations containing kava-kava are concerned, results of systematic studies of adverse effect frequency lack validation. In clinical trials up to the year 2002, they are listed as nonexistent or negligible. There have not been any

well-designed case-controlled studies for relative risk determination.

Currently, the kava ban exists in Germany, the UK and France. The German Minister for Health in Berlin announced that the ban will be lifted once scientific evidence of the safety of kava is available. Following the announcement, the International Kava Executive Council in Berlin met with German health authorities to discuss the issue. In May 2005, the kava ban of German products was lifted by the German BfArM. The revokal of the German drug registrations is lifted, but the drugs themselves can still not be marketed as the registrations are temporarily suspended. There is still the chance that the BfArM will now cancel the registrations one by one, based on flaws in the registration dossiers so there is still considerable work that would need to be done before kava would be back on the market. Even if they will not allow the formally existing products back on the market, the companies can now theoretically apply for new registrations.

After evaluating the available literature on kava in all languages, including the WHO reports and reviews published on kava, duplicate reports exist under numerous publication titles partially due to multiple reporting mechanisms and multiple language translations. Natural Standard Research Collaboration is working with the WHO Advisery Committee on Safety of Medicinal Products on a new official report on kava and hepatotoxicity. Results will be published in 2005. In order to study kava adequately in the future, a central reporting mechanism, data collection and multidisciplinary review of postmarket surveillance data to prevent bias is recommended. When a signal is detected, suggesting that a safety problem exists with a marketed health product, a number of factors must be taken into consideration. These include: the seriousness of the disease state/condition for which the product is indicated, the seriousness of the adverse reaction, the estimated incidence of the reaction, and the available alternative therapies. Consideration of these factors will influence the product's new benefit-risk profile, which will, in turn, influence the choice of risk-mitigation strategy. The major difficulty in postmarket assessment when comparing the benefit/ risk ratios between alternative therapies is the lack of accurate data regarding the numerator (number of reported reactions) and the denominator (number of patients exposed to the product).

Because kava is not available in many areas of the world, individuals can instead turn to alternative therapies for anxiety treatment. In addition to other herbs or supplements such as lavender, a variety of modalities, including aromatherapy, relaxation therapy and yoga may help reduce anxiety without hepatic effects.

Table 1a. Example restrictions on kava-containing products (US, Canada, Germany, Australia, France and UK)

Year	N1	Canada	Gormann	- Carrier Commence Co		117
	50	Callada	Germany	Australia	rrance	Č.
			Small number of cases of liver damage reported to the German regulatory authority (BfArM) [201,202].			
	In a letter issued by the FDA on 18 December, the agency stated it is investigating whether or not kava-containing products are a health concern. The FDA noted 26 cases of liver toxicity in Germany and Switzerland, including one fatality and one liver transplant that were reportedly associated with kava products [38].		Kava is banned by BfArM due to suspected hepatotoxic risks [201].		Two nonserious liver case reports were filed with regulatory authorities. However, no kava product was registered for sale in France because it is traded as a food supplement. On 8 January, 2001, the French Agency for the Safety of Health Products halts Kava sales for one year based on German and Swiss reports [203].	The CSM first considers safety of kava. The MCA and CSM call for a voluntary suspension of kava-containing products [201].
	US Centres for Disease Control and Prevention issued a report on hepatotoxicity associated with kava-containing products. On 25 March, the FDA warned that kava is linked to serious liver damage, including hepatitis, cirrhosis and at least four urgent liver transplants in other countries. A letter was also issued urging healthcare professionals to review cases of liver toxicity to determine if they were associated with kava.	On 16 January, Health Canada begins safety assessment of kava and advises consumers not to use kava-containing products. The investigation found that as of 21 August, three cases of liver toxicity associated with kava were reported. A stop-sale order was issued for all kava-containing products [201,204].	Forty cases of severe liver damage were reported to BfArM from 1999 to 2002. Of the 40 cases, 3 were fatal and 6 patients required transplants [205].	On 15 August, kava-containing products were recalled by the TGA. The recall was sparked after a reported death of a woman from complications of fulminant hepatic failure associated with the use of a kava-containing medicine [201,206].		Three reports of liver toxicity (none fatal) were reported to the MCA up to June. On 18 July, the MCA considers proposal that prohibits the supply of kava in unlicensed medicinal products (207).

CSM: Committee on Safety of Medicines; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; MCA: The Medicines Control Agency; MHRA: Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency; TGA: Therapeutic Goods Administration.

Table 1a. Example restrictions on kava-containing products (US, Canada, Germany, Australia, France and UK) (continued)

As of March 2003, the End of the Today and the End of the Today 2005, BAAM sends notice in the Rava Evaluation type of liver disorder (pai). Know products remain May 2005, BAAM sends notice in written form to the individual companies, spording registred have products starting that the decision reason of aben on 14 June 2000 Se revoked for reasoned of appropriateness integed the nactivation of the registrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 would be the products starting that the decision in 2002 would be supplication the machination of the registrations with mans a total stop of all activities. Nationly would be companied as of now in the registrations with the companied of the registrations with mans a total starting or products can still not be marketed, but any evaluating the starting or products can still not be marketed, but any evaluating the starting or products as studies are one of efficacy—the registration of the	Year	Year IIS Canada	Canada	Gormany Australia Eranco	Australia Eranco	AII
2005 As whach 2013, the EDA reported that of the 2 committee, called 2 case reports Red in the U.S. stated some To state some To state some To state some The Ray Edulation To review The Ray Edulation Group to review The Ray Edulation Group to review The safety of Ray products present To state some To state so	3			Cel mail y	Australia	40
2005 Kase products remain May 2005; BiAzM sends notice in available for purchase. 2006 Kase products remain May 2005; BiAzM sends notice in available for purchase. 2007 Kase products remain May 2005; BiAzM sends notice in available for purchase. 2008 Kase products remain May 2005; BiAzM sends notice in available for purchase. 2009 May 2009; BiAzM sends notice in available for purchase. 2009 May 2009; BiAzM sends notice in available for purchase. 2000 May 2009; BiAzM sends notice in available for purchase. 2000 May 2009; BiAzM sends notice in available for purchase. 2000 May as a complete carefulation of the registrations which means a total send registrations. Which means a total send registration of the registrations which means a total send of settlement of the registrations of the marketer, but anyone willing to go through a new possible with carefuled out registration. But are more possible with carefuled out gettlement out of efficacy the marketer out of efficacy the marketer out of efficacy at least more cossible with employed accept for the individual properations, in the individual properations, in the individual properations, in the individual properations, in the individual properations, not the response of the individual properations, in t	2003		Andrews and the contract of th		In January the	The CSM and the
2005 Kave proors filed in the LU.S stated some the Called International Control of the Save about and Save of Save					TGA established	Modicinal Committies
the U.S. 5 stared some the disorder pain. 2005 Asaa products remain written form to the individual companies producing registered kwa products pain written form to the individual companies producing registered kwa products staring that the decision products staring that the decision products staring that the decision in products staring that the decision in case of appropriateness. Instead, the nacional pain and the registrations is condended as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registrations is condended as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registrations of the registrations. And the masks a total stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to sustain a new registration for soft means a total that the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to possible with cancelled drug registrations. Companies are deline usure that one fifteacy, the main concern for the Bidwin. They say the risk-benefit int into is registing the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with pier and seasons. Downthy in costy for recentical reasons. Dow'try is also discussed just.		21 case reports filed in				
The Rada Waluaton The Rada Waluaton The Rada Waluaton The Safety of kaya products remain Whey 2005: Bfa4M sends notice in available for purchase. Companies producting registered kaya products stating that the decision Taken on 14 June 2, 2002 is evoked for Reasons of appropriateness. Instead, The inactivation of the registrations is outdered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete rangelation of the registrations which means a lotal stop of all activities. Noticidy would have been able to summin a new registration for Aaa. Now, the products can sail in the tempered. Companies are given until June 2007 To delever new data on efficacy the made with new registrations, in the individual dosages. The problem sitiar most clinical studies were made with indire regasses the problem sitiar most clinical studies were made with indire regasses the problem sitiar most clinical studies were made with indire regasses the problem recommended. To mostly for returned reasons. Towicity is also discussed [138].					a committee, camed	iouria evidence linking
Uppe or liver discover (2011). 2005 Kawa products remain May 2005; BfAxM sends notice in avoidiable for purchase. Companies producing registered kava products stating that the decision of taken on 14 June, 2002; in recolced for reasons of appropriateness. Instead, the nactivation of the registrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registrations with means a total stop of all activities, hobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone withing to go intowalt are cancelled drug registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone withing to go intowalt are cancelled drug registration. But are opposite with mactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007. Cincal submitted and registrations, but are considered with mactive registrations. In the mid middle decisions, in the infalficular decisions. In the infalficular decisions, in the infalficular decisions, in the infalficular decisions. Studies were made with their robastes than recovery to decisions. Decisions and the products are they would accept for the infalficular decisions. In the infalficular decisions, in the infalficular decisions, in the infalficular decisions. The decisions are the world accept for the infalficular decisions are the world accept for the infalficular decisions. The decisions are the submitted in the decisions are the infalficular decisions are the world accept for the registered consists.		the US, 5 stated some			the Kava Evaluation	kava to cases of liver
Kava products remain May 2005; EfAtM sends notice in available for purchase. White from to the individual and products gave decision taken on 14 June, 2007; EfAtM sends notice in available for purchase. Companies products stating that the decision taken on 14 June, 2002 is recoked for reasons of appropriateness. Instead, the inactivation of the egistrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registrations, which means a total stop of all activities. Nothody would have been able to suomit a new registration, which means a total have been able to suomit a new registration for have not be submit a new registration for and new application process could do so. Clinical studies are mort possible with a products are and in note the marketed, but anyone willing to go through a hew application process could do so. Clinical studies are mort possible with restrictions. Or or deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the EfAtM. They say the first-benefit ratio is regarder the main concern for the EfAtM. They say the first-benefit and submissions in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with piece songest studies remains the restriction of receiver the restrictio		type of liver disorder [201].			Group to review	toxicity. The MCA noted
2005 Kava products remain May 2005; 8fAvW sends notice in available for purchase. companies products stating that the individual companies producing registered skap products stating that the decision raken on 14 June, 2002 is revoked for reasons of appropriateness. Instead, the nactivation of the registrations is officered as of now. The decision in 2002 was complete cancellation of the registrations of the registration of the registration of the registration of the registration of the products as studies. Nabodowy would have been able to stumin a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still most be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed in the anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with his say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because these in opposible with mary the registration. But are possible with mary concern for the BIAPM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because these in opposible with any fine of thick of at least one they would accept for at least none they would accept for at least none they would accept for at least one they dependent in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with fight er dosages the problem recommended, mostly for returned recommended.					the safety of kava	70 worldwide reports of
Any 2005: BfAvM sends notice in available for purchase. written form to the individual companies producing registered kava companies producing registered for reasons of appropriate resistance is ordered or the macriwation of the registrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registrations which means a total stop of all activities. Nobicoly would stop ordered as of now. The decision in the edistration of the registration for when the products cancellation of a lactivities. Nobicoly would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone milling to got fruit out, but are possible with cancelled out gestration, but are possible with macrine registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to define mew data on efficacy the main concern for the BAMM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no prod of efficacy—because there is no prod of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were madew this purchased, mostly for technical recommended, mostly for technical recommended. Toxicity is also discussed itself.					products [206].	adverse liver reactions, In
Any 2005: EfAtM sends notice in written form to the individual companies producin registered kava products. Stating that the decision products stating that the decision products stating that the decision products of appropriateness. Instead, the macriwation of the registrations is ondered as of now. The decision in 2000 was complete cancellation of the registrations is ondered as of now. The decision in 2000 was complete cancellation of the registrations which means a total stop of all activities. Naboody would have been able to submit a new registrations, which means a total stop of all activities. Naboody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products cancelled up the markered, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with marker registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficiacy the main concern for the fall-MM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no poor of elificacy — at least none they would accept from in the individual dosagos. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with individual dosagos. The problem recommended, mostly for returnal recommended, mostly for returnal recommended.						January, the MHRA bans
Nay 2005: BfA.M sends notice in written form to the individual compains producing registered kava products stating that the decision taken on in Julia, 2002 is recoked for reasons of appropriates. Instead, the inactivation of the registrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete concellation of the registrations which means a total stop of all activities. Nabody would have been able to submit a new registration for kears. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone whiling to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with carnelled together with nature registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver their is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual pegalaction, in the individual pegalaction, in the individual pegalaction, in the individual pegalactions, in the individual pegalactical process of their individual pegalactical resons in the individual pegalactical resons. Then the individual pegalactical resons in the individual dosages. The people resons the resons in the individual dosages. The people resons in the individual dosages. The people resons in the individual dosages.						kava-containing products
Nava products remain whey 2005; BifAtM sends notice in available for purchase. companies producing geststeed kava products stating that the decision taken on 14 June, 2002 is revoked for reasons of appropriateness. Instead, the nackwathor of the registrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete carellation of the registrations in 2002 was a complete carellation of the registrations, which means a total stop of all activities, Nubrow, would have been able to submit a new registration, which means a total have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can all not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application for kava. Now, the concurrent of the same of through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled duya gestrations. Companies are are not possible with real effect of the fisk-benefit matic in against the individual pregastration. Because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual pregastration in the individual pregastration in the individual pregastration is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed 1381.						[207]
available for purchase. Companies products prepared kava products stating that the decision taken on I during, 2007 is revoked for reasons of appropriateness. Instead, the inactivation of the registrations is ondered as of row. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registration swhite means a total stop of all activities. Notody would have been able to submit an ever registration for kara. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but registration for kara. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Climical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver main concern for the BRAM. They say the risk rek-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy at less none they would accept for the individual propagations, in the individual propagations. In the individual propagations. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher decages than recommended, mostly for technical resconns. Toxicty is also discussed [138].	ניס					
available for purchase. Companies producting registered Awa products stating that the decision taken on 14 June, 2005 sevoked for reasons of appropriateness. Instead, the inactivation of the registrations is condered as of mon, The decision in 2002 was a complete carcellation of the registrations, which means a total stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still most marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with carcelled dray registration, but are possible with mactive registration, but are possible with right endividual preparators, in the individual possible registration in the individual possible with higher decisions. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher data of sections in recommended, mostly for technical recommended, mostly for technical recommended, mostly for technical recommended, mostly for technical recommended mostly is also discussed [138].	5007			May 2005: BTArM sends notice in		MHRA is reviewing the
companies producting registered kava products stating that the decision taken on 1 d.June, 2002 is revoked for reasons of appropriateness. Instead, the inactivation of the registrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registrations which means a total stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can retain the brank now, the products can reall not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration. But we application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration. Companies are given until June 2007. Companies are given until June 2007. Companies are given until sine gabtive because there is no proof of efficacy—at the stax home they would accept for the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for rechnical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].		available for purchase.		written form to the individual		ban on kava (If the
taken on 14 June, 2002 is revoked for reasons of appropriatenes, instead, reasons of appropriatenes, instead, the inactivation of the registrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registrations, which means a total stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone williaction process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cardled drug registration, but are possible with active registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfArM. They say the risk-bentili ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				companies producing registered kava		regulatory agency can find
reasons of appropriateness. Instead, the inactivation of the registrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a competer cancellation of the registration of the registrations of was a competer cancellation of the registrations. Which means a total stop of all activities. Nubody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration. but are possible with mactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007. Companies are given until new 1007. Orderiver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the 8fAAN. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at tests none they would accept for the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed Jissi.				products stating that the decision		evidence that kaya is safe.
the inactivation of the registrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registration of the registration of the registrations, which means a total stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration, but are possible with market registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on the Bifw. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				taken on 14 June, 2002 is revoked fo	J.	the herb may enter the UK
the inactivation of the registrations is ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registrations, which means a total stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfAM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual preparations, in the individual preparations, in the individual preparations, in the individual preparations, also discussed tash recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed tash.	Exp			heatons of announiateness lustread		market opce again) [368]
ordered as of now. The decision in 2002 was a complete cancellation of the registrations, which means a total stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration, but are possible with inactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfAMN. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].	 peri			the inactivation of the registrations is		Harve Otice again) [200].
2002 was a complete cancellation of the registrations, which means a total stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfArM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for rechnical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].	- t C			מוכיוומרמיאמוסיו סו מוכי וכשומנומנוסוים וס		
the registrations, which means a total stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration, but are possible with inactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfAMN. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficiacy at least none they would accept for the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed (138).	Doir			ordered as of now. The decision in		
the registrations, which means a total stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfAnk. They say the risk—benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed (138).	n. L			2002 was a complete cancellation of		
stop of all activities. Nobody would have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfAnM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].	Dru			the registrations, which means a total		
have been able to submit a new registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration, but are possible with inactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfArM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].	 a S			stop of all activities. Nohody would		
registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration, but are possible with inactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfArM. They say the risk—benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].	af.			have been activities. Noticely would		
registration for kava. Now, the products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration, but are possible with inactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfArM. They say the risk—benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].	(2			make Deell able to submit a liew		
products can still not be marketed, but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration, but are possible with inactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfArM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].	:00			registration for kava. Now, the		
but anyone willing to go through a new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration, but are possible with inactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfAnM. They say the risk—benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].	5) 4			products can still not be marketed,		
new application process could do so. Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration, but are possible with inactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfArM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy – at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].	4(4			but anyone willing to go through a		
Clinical studies are not possible with cancelled drug registration, but are possible with inactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfArM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy – at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].)			new application process could do so.		
cancelled drug registration, but are possible with inactive registration. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfAM. They say the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy – at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				Clinical studies are not possible with		
possible with inactive registrations. Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfAnt. They say the risk—benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				cancelled drug registration but are		
Companies are given until June 2007 to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfAnt. They say the risk—benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].	_			nossible with inactive registrations		
to deliver new data on efficacy, the main concern for the BfAM. They say the risk—benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				Companies are diventifue 2007		
main concern for the BfATM. They say the risk—benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				to deliver new data on efficed the		
the risk—benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				main concern for the DfA-M Thou so		
the risk-benefit ratio is negative because there is no proof of efficacy—at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				the dall to the state of the branch they say	>	
because there is no proof of efficacy – at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				the risk-benefit ratio is negative		
at least none they would accept for the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				because there is no proof of efficacy -	1	
the individual preparations, in the individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				at least none they would accept for		
individual dosages. The problem is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				the individual preparations, in the		
is that most clinical studies were made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				individual dosages. The problem		
made with higher dosages than recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				is that most clinical studies were		
recommended, mostly for technical reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				made with higher dosages than		
reasons. Toxicity is also discussed [138].				recommended, mostly for technical		
יייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	_			reasons. Toxicity is also discussed (138)		
	* Andreas and Andr			ינטיז באנטמאטיי סטוב כן איראיין יטווטבאן		

CSM: Committee on Safety of Medicines; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; MCA: The Medicines Control Agency; MHRA: Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency; TGA: Therapeutic Goods Administration.

Table 1b. Example restrictions on kava-containing products (Portugal, Switzerland, Singapore, Austria, Ireland and New Zealand).

)	on ware contraining break	ig products (Fortugal, switzerland, singapole, Austria, neland and New Zealand,	امر عالالعامات, جمعدالم, الح	iaila aila ivew zealailu).	
Year	Portugal	Switzerland	Singapore	Austria	Ireland	New Zealand
2000		In September 2000, the government warned marketers of safety concerns related to kava, based on four case reports [201].				
2001		Health authorities, (SWISSMEDIC) issued a safety protocol [201,203].				
Evpart Onio, Drug Saf (2005) 4(4)	Although no reports of liver damage were reported in the country, Portugal followed France and suspended all kava-containing products for one year [201,203].		In January, the country's HSA warned consumers of the potential adverse effects of kava. On 25 July, kava was banned. Although no adverse effects associated with kava were reported in Singapore, HSA prohibited the importation and sale of kava products in the country, based on German and Swiss case reports [201].	Following the German ban of kava, Austria banned kava. The recall of all kava products followed a single case of liver failure associated with kava consumption [201].	in February 2002, the Irish Medicines Board initiated a voluntary withdrawal of all kava-containing products. Unable to find any case reports.	On 16 January, 2002, the New Zealand Ministry of Health announced that it was investigating overseas concerns about kava and liver damage. The ministry noted that available evidence is poor because of additional liver-effecting factors, such as alcohol consumption. On 16 August, 2002, the New Zealand Food Safety Authority (FSANZ) issued a warning to consumers about the safety of kava-containing products. The Medicines Adverse Reactions Committee recommended to the New Zealand Food Safety Authority that labels, warning against the possibility of serious liver damage, should be required for kava-containing products. No case reports of hepatotoxicity [201.203.209].
2003		In February, the In February 2003, the SWISSMEDIC banned the sale of kava-containing products [201].				

HSA: Health Sciences Authority.

a)	
- 5	
⋖	
2	
ਰ	
g	
-∺	
9	
Ξ	
7	
÷	
5	
Š	
es,	
<u>=</u>	
څ	
8	
Ξ	
₫	
ŧ	
3	
So	
0,	
<u>.e</u>	
등	
ō	
<u>=</u>	
ပ	
2	
New	
Z	
S	
lucts	
ಕ	
9	
ם	
Σ	
- ≣	
ڃ.	
Ξ	
ou	
Ÿ	
Ó	
g	
포	
on kava	
ctions	
÷	
·Ξ	
St.	
ā	
a)	
ם	
≣	
X	
ш	
ن	
رب ج	
able	
-75	

2		Concerning products (New Co	re example estimated on maya-containing products (wew calcuolia, South Alife), You're Alife), South America and Asia).	South America and Asia).	
Year	New Caledonia	South Africa	Wales	South America	Asia
2002	Although no case reports of liver damage were recorded in the country, on 11 January, 2002, the Health and Social Department announced a ban on the sale of kava-containing products sold in pharmacies. Traditional kava preparations and kava products sold in supermarkets were exempt from the ban [201].	In November 2002, the South African (MCC) issued a drug alert, stating that kava may cause irreversible liver damage. No cases of liver damage were reported to the MCC [201,210].	The National Assembly for Wales bans all kava-containing products [211].		
2003			The National Assembly for Wales reversed a two-year ban on the sale of kava-containing products. The decision went into effect in October 2003 [212].	In Brazil, one woman died from renal failure associated with kava consumption. The case report documented that a 30 year-old woman experienced fulminant hepatitis possibly associated with kava. The woman suffered from renal failure and needed haemodialisis, noradrenaline and ventilatory support. The patient died after going into sepsis. In Brazil, one case report was documented in a 44 year-old woman who experienced fulminant hepatitis associated with kava consumption. The patient had a liver transplant in the end of February 2004. A biopsy found that the liver showed a high probability of toxic hepatitis.	
MCC: N	MCC: Medicines Control Council.		CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF		

Expert Opin. Drug Saf. (2005) 4(4)

Bibliography

Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (•) or of considerable interest (••) to readers.

- STAFFORD N: Germany may ban kava kava herbal supplement, Reuter's News Service Germany (November 19, 2001).
- MILLS E, SINGH R, ROSS C et al.: Sale of kava extract in some health food stores. CMAJ (2003) 169(11):1158-1159.
- MILLS SY, STEINHOFF B: Kava-kava: a lesson for the phytomedicine community. Phytomedicine (2003) 10(2-3):261-262.
- SCHULZE J, RAASCH W, SIEGERS CP: Toxicity of kava pyrones, drug safety and precautions-a case study. *Phytomedicine* (2003) 10(Suppl. 4):68-73.
- ANONYMOUS: Kava: first suspended, now prohibited. Prescrire Int. (2003) 12(66):142.
- PITTLER MH, Ernst E: Kava extract for treating anxiety. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. (2003)(1):CD003383.
- · Systematic review.
- BOON HS, Wong AH: Kava: a test case for Canada's new approach to natural health products. CMAJ (2003) 169(11):1163-1164.
- Policy.
- SINGH YN: Kava: an overview.
 J. Ethnopharmacol. (1992) 37(1):13-45.
- DOUGLAS W: The effects of heavy usage of kava on physical health. Med. J. Aust. (1988) 149:341-342.
- ERNST E: The risk-benefit profile of commonly used herbal therapies: Ginkgo, St. John's Wort, Ginseng, Echinacea, Saw Palmetto, and Kava. Ann. Intern. Med. (2002) 136(1):42-53.
- Comparison of kava with other herbal therapies.
- 11. ERNST E: Second thoughts about kava. *Am. J. Med.* (2002) 113(4):347-348.
- ERNST E: Recall of the herbal anxiolytic kava. Underestimation of its value or overestimation of its risks? MMW Fortschr. Med. (2002) 144(41):40.
- 13. ERNST E: Safety concerns about kava. *Lancet* (2002) 359(9320):1865.
- PITTLER MH, ERNST E: Kava extract for treating anxiety. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. (2002) (2):CD003383.
- Systematic review.
- BASCH E, ULBRICHT C, HAMMERNESS P et al.: Kava monograph. J. Herbal Pharmacother. (2002) 2(4):65-91.

- Full systematic review of efficacy and safety from which this article was adapted.
- GEIER FP. KONSTANTINOWICZ T: Kava treatment in patients with anxiety. Phytother. Res. (2004) 18(4):297-300.
- BOERNER RJ, SOMMER H, BERGER W et al.: Kava-Kava extract
 Ll 150 is as effective as Opipramol and Buspirone in Generalised Anxiety Disorder

 an 8-week randomized, double-blind multi-centre clinical trial in 129 outpatients. Phytomedicine (2003) 10(Suppl. 4):38-49.
- CAGNACCI A, ARANGINO S, RENZI A et al.: Kava-Kava administration reduces anxiety in perimenopausal women.
 Maturitas (2003) 44(2):103-109.
- RUSSMANN S, LAUTERBURG BH, HELBLING A: Kava hepatotoxicity. Ann. Intern. Med. (2001) 135(1):68-69.
- ANONYMOUS: Kava kava may cause irreversible liver damage.
 S. Afr. Med. J. (2002) 92(12):961.
- ANONYMOUS: Concerns over kava have the FDA's attention. Mayo Clin. Health Lett. (2002) 20(7):4.
- 22. DE SMET PA: Safety concerns about kava not unique. *Lancet* (2002) 360(9342):1336.
- ANONYMOUS: From the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hepatic toxicity possibly associated with kava-containing products-United States, Germany, and Switzerland, 1999-2002. JAMA (2003) 289(1):36-37.
- CLOUGH AR, BAILIE RS, CURRIE B: Liver function test abnormalities in users of aqueous kava extracts. *J. Toxicol.* Clin. Toxicol. (2003) 41(6):821-829.
- RUSSMANN S, BARGUIL Y, CABALION P et al.: Hepatic injury due to traditional aqueous extracts of kava root in New Caledonia. Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. (2003) 15(9):1033-1036.
- ANONYMOUS: Hepatic toxicity possibly associated with kava-containing products-United States, Germany, and Switzerland, 1999-2002. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. (2002) 51(47):1065-1067.
- BUJANDA I., PALACIOS A, SILVARINO R et al.: Kava-induced acute icteric hepatitis. Gastroenterol. Hepatol. (2002) 25(6):434-435.
- HUMBERSTON CI., AKHTAR J, KRENZELOK EP: Acute hepatitis induced by kava kava. J. Toxicol. Clin. Toxicol. (2003) 41(2):109-113.

- STICKEL F, BAUMULLER HM, SEITZ K et al.: Hepatitis induced by Kava (Piper methysticum rhizoma). J. Hepatol. (2003) 39(1):62-67.
- ESCHER M, DESMEULES J, GIOSTRA E et al.: Hepatitis associated with Kava, a herbal remedy for anxiety. Br. Med. J. (2001) 322(7279):139.
- KRAFT M, SPAHN TW, MENZEL J et al.: Fulminant liver failure after administration of the herbal antidepressant Kava-Kava. Dtsch. Med. Wochenschr. (2001) 126(36):970-972.
- THOMSEN M, VITET IA L, SCHMIDT M et al.: Fatal fulminant hepatic failure induced by a natural therapy containing kava. Med. J. Aust. (2004) 180(4):198-199.
- GOW PJ, CONNELLY NJ, HILL RL et al.: Fatal fulminant hepatic failure induced by a natural therapy containing kava. Med. J. Aust. (2003) 178(9):442-443.
- 34. ANONYMOUS: Relevant hepatotoxic effects of kava still need to be proven. A statement of the Society for Medicinal Plant Research. *Planta Med.* (2003) 69(11):971-972.
- DENHAM A, MCINTYRE M, WHITEHOUSE J: Kava-the unfolding story: report on a work-in-progress. J. Altern. Complement Med. (2002) 8(3):237-263.
- 36. SCHMIDT M: Are kavalactones the hepatotoxic principle of kava extracts? The pitfalls of the glutathione theory. J. Altern. Complement Med. (2003) 9(2):183-187.
- PARKMAN CA: Another FDA warning: Kava supplements. Case Manager (2002) 13(4):26-28.
- 38. CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY AND APPLIED NUTRITION (US FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION): Kava-containing dietary supplements may be associated with severe liver injury (document issued March 25, 2002).
- 39. CENTER FOR FOOD SAFETY AND APPLIED NUTRITION (US FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION): Letter to health care professionals: FDA issues consumer advisory that kava products may be associated with severe liver injury (document issued March 25, 2002), contact information for FDA Mcdwatch program: 1-800-332-1088.
- Regulatory action.

- 40. DE LEO V, LA MARCA A, LANZETTA D et al.: Assessment of the association of Kava-Kava extract and hormone replacement therapy in the treatment of postmenopause anxiety. Minerva Ginecol. (2000) 52(6):263-267.
- CAWTE J: Parameters of kava used as a challenge to alcohol. Aust. NZ. J. Psychiatry (1986) 20(1):70-76.
- GLEITZ J, FRIESE J, BEILE A et al.: Anticonvulsive action of (+/-)-kavain estimated from its properties on stimulated synaptosomes and Na+ channel receptor sites. Eur. J. Pharmacol. (1996) 315(1):89-97.
- SCHMITZ D, ZHANG CL, CHAITERJEE SS et al.:
 Effects of methysticin on three different models of seizure like events studied in rat hippocampal and entorhinal cortex slices. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol. (1995) 351(4):348-355.
- 44. BAUM SS, HILL R, ROMMELSPACHER H: Effect of kava extract and individual kavapyrones on neurotransmitter levels in the nucleus accumbens of rats. Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry (1998) 22(7):1105-1120.
- STEINER GG: The correlation between cancer incidence and kava consumption. Hawaii Med. J. (2000) 59(11):420-422.
- GIRMAN A, LEE R, KLIGLER B: An integrative medicine approach to premenstrual syndrome. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. (2003) 188(Suppl. 5):S56-S65.
- SINGH YN: Effects of kava on neuromuscular transmission and muscle contractility. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* (1983) 7(3):267-276.
- KINZLER E, KROMER J, LEHMANN E: Effect of a special kava extract in patients with anxiety-, tension-, and excitation states of non-psychotic genesis. Double blind study with placebos over 4 weeks. Arzneimittelforschung (1991) 41(6):584-588.
- WARNECKE G: Psychosomatic dysfunctions in the female climacteric. Clinical effectiveness and tolerance of Kava Extract WS 1490. Fortschr. Med. (1991) 109(4):119-122.
- VOLZ HP, KIESER M: Kava-kava extract WS 1490 versus placebo in anxiety disorders-a randomized placebo-controlled 25-week outpatient trial. Pharmacopsychiatry (1997) 30(1):1-5.

- VOLZ HP: Kava-kava und Kavain. *Münch Med. Wschr.* (1997) 139:42-46.
- 52. PITTLER MH, ERNST E: Efficacy of kava extract for treating anxiety: systematic review and meta-analysis. J. Clin. Psychopharmacol. (2000) 20(1):84-89.
- •• Systematic review and meta-analysis.
- LEHRL S: Clinical efficacy of kava extract WS 1490 in sleep disturbances associated with anxiety disorders. Results of a multicenter, randomized, placebocontrolled, double-blind clinical trial. J. Affect. Disord. (2004) 78(2):101-110.
- 54. GASTPAR M, KLIMM HD: Treatment of anxiety, tension and restlessness states with Kava special extract WS 1490 in general practice: a randomized placebo-controlled double-blind multicenter trial. *Phytomedicine* (2003) 10(8):631-639.
- JAPPE U, FrAnke I, REINHOLD D et al.: Sebotropic drug reaction resulting from kava-kava extract therapy: a new entity? J. Am. Acad. Dermatol. (1998) 38(1):104-106.
- SCHMIDT P, BOEHNCKE WH: Delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction to kava-kava extract. Contact Dermatitis (2000) 42(6):363-364.
- SUSS R, LEHMANN P: Hematogenous contact eczema caused by phytogenic drugs exemplified by kava root extract. *Hautarzi* (1996) 47(6):459-461.
- SIEGERS CP, HONOLD E, KRALL B et al.: Results of the drug monitoring L 1090 with Laitan capsules. Arztl Forsch (1992) 39:7-11.
- CAIRNEY S, MARUFF P, CLOUGH AR et al.: Saccade and cognitive impairment associated with kava intoxication. Hum. Psychopharmacol. (2003) 18(7):525-533.
- CAIRNEY S, CLOUGH AR, MARUFF P et al.: Saccade and cognitive function in chronic kava users.
 Neuropsychopharmacology (2003) 28(2):389-396.
- CLOUGH AR, JACUPS SP, WANG Z et al.: Health effects of kava use in an eastern Arnhem Land Aboriginal community. Intern. Med. J. (2003) 33(8):336-340.
- MEYER HG: Pharmakologie der wirksamen prinzipien de kawarhizoms (Piper methysticum Forst.). Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. (1962) 138:505-536.
- MATHEWS JD, RILEY MD, FEJO L et al.: Effects of the heavy usage of kava on

- physical health: summary of a pilot survey in an aboriginal community. *Med. J. Aust.* (1988) 148(11):548-555.
- CLOUGH A, JONES P: Policy approaches to support local community control over the supply and distribution of kava in the Northern Territory (Australia). *Drug Alcohol Rev.* (2004) 23(1):117-126.
- 65. CLOUGH AR, WANG Z, BAILIE RS et al.: Case-control study of the association between kava use and ischaemic heart disease in Aboriginal communities in eastern Arnhem Land (Northern Territory) Australia. J. Epidemiol. Community Health (2004) 58(2):140-141.
- SINGH YN, SINGH NN: Therapeutic potential of kava in the treatment of anxiety disorders. CNS Drugs (2002) 16(11):731-743.
- 67. RUZE P: Kava-induced dermopathy: a niacin deficiency? *Lancet* (1990) 335(8703):1442-1445.
- KELLER F, KLOHS M: A review of the chemistry and pharmacology of the constituents of Piper methysticum. *Lloydia* (1963) 26:1-15.
- 69. STICKEL F, SEITZ HK, SCHUPPAN D: Review 'Kava, kavapyrones and toxic liver injury' by R Teschke, Hanau Z. Gastroenterol. 2003, 41:395-404. Z. Gastroenterol. (2003) 41(8):888-889.
- STRAHL S, EHRET V, DAHM HH et al.: Necrotizing hepatitis after taking herbal remedies. Disch. Med. Wochenschr. (1998) 123(47):1410-1414.
- NERURKAR PV, DRAGULL K, TANG CS: In vitro Toxicity of Kava Alkaloid, Pipermethystine, in HepG2 Cells as Compared to Kavalactones. Toxicol. Sci. (2004).
- SCHERER J: Kava-kava extract in anxiety disorders: an outpatient observational study. Adv. Ther. (1998) 15(4):261-269.
- 73. GLEITZ J, BEILE A, WILKENS P et al.: Antithrombotic action of the kava pyrone (+)-kavain prepared from Piper methysticum on human platelets. Planta Med. (1997) 63(1):27-30.
- DONADIO V, BONSI P, ZELE I et al.: Myoglobinuria after ingestion of extracts of guarana, Ginkgo biloba and kava. Neurol. Sci. (2000) 21(2):124.
- 75. HOLM E, STAEDT U, HEEP J et al.: The action profile of D,L-kavain. Cerebral sites and sleep-wakefulness- rhythm in animals. Arzneimittelforschung (1991) 41(7):673-683.

Safety Review of kava (Piper methysticum) by the Natural Standard Research Collaboration

- MUNTE TF, HEINZE HJ, MATZKE M
 et al.: Effects of oxazepam and an
 extract of kava roots (Piper methysticum)
 on event-related potentials in a word
 recognition task. Neuropsychobiology
 (1993) 27(1):46-53.
- GESSNER B, CNOTA P: Extract of the kava-kava rhizome in comparison with diazepam and placebo. *Z. Phytother.* (1994) 15(1):30-37.
- JOHNSON D, FRAUENDORF A, STECKER K et al.: Neurophysiological active profile and tolerance of kava extract WS 1490, A pilot study with randomized evaluation. TW Neurolgie Psychiatrie (1991) 5(6):349-354.
- HERBERG KW: Driving ability after intake of kava special extract WS 1490, a double-blind, placebo-controlled study with volunteers. Zeitschrift für Allgemeinmedizin (1991) 13:842-846.
- HERBERG KW: Effect of Kava-Special Extract WS 1490 combined with ethyl alcohol on safety-relevant performance parameters. *Blutalkohol* (1993) 30(2):96-105.
- ALMEIDA JC, GRIMSLEY EW: Coma from the health food store: interaction between kava and alprazolam. Ann. Intern. Med. (1996) 125(11):940-941.
- PRESCOTT J, JAMIESON D, EMDUR N et al.: Acute effects of kava on measures of cognitive performance, physiological function and mood. Drug Alc. Rev. (1993) 12:49-58.
- SALETU B, GRÜNBERGER J, LINZMAYER L et al.: EEG-brain mapping, psychometric and psychophysiological studies on central effects of kavain-a kava plant derivative. Hum. Psychopharm. (1989) 4:169-190.
- RADUEGE KM, KLESHINSKI JF, RYCKMAN JV et al.: Anesthetic considerations of the herbal, kava. J. Clin. Anesth. (2004) 16(4):305-311.
- PATRA KK, COFFEY CE: Implications of herbal alternative medicine for electroconvulsive therapy. J. ECT (2004) 20(3):186-194.
- SCHELOSKY L, RAFFAUF C, JENDROSKA K et al.: Kava and dopamine antagonism. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry (1995) 58(5):639-640.
- MESEGUER E, TABOADA R, SANCHEZ V et al.: Life-threatening parkinsonism induced by kava-kava. Mov. Disord. (2002) 17(1):195-196.

- SPILLANE PK, FISHER DA, CURRIE BJ: Neurological manifestations of kava intoxication. *Med. J. Aust.* (1997) 167(3):172-173.
- SIBON I, ROSIER E, ORGOGOZO JM: Meningismus after taking kava-kava. Rev. Neurol. (Paris) (2002) 158(12):1205-1206.
- GARNER LF, KLINGER JD: Some visual effects caused by the beverage kava. J. Ethnopharmacol. (1985) 13(3):307-311.
- LEUNG N: Acute urinary retention secondary to kava ingestion. *Emerg.* Med. Australas. (2004) 16(1):94.
- JAMIESON DD, DUFFIELD PH: Positive interaction of ethanol and kava resin in mice. Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol. (1990) 17(7):509-514.
- JAMIESON DD, DUFFIELD PH: The antinociceptive actions of kava components in mice. Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol. (1990) 17(7):495-507.
- MURPHY JM: Preoperative considerations with herbal medicines. AORN J. (1999) 69(1):173-8, 180.
- 95. SPARREBOOM A, COX MC, ACHARYA MR et al.: Herbal remedies in the United States: potential adverse interactions with anticancer agents.

 J. Clin. Oncol. (2004) 22(12):2489-2503.
- UEBELHACK R, FRANKE L, SCHEWE HJ: Inhibition of platelet MAO-B by kava pyrone-enriched extract from Piper methysticum Forster (kava-kava). *Pharmacopsychiatry* (1998) 31(5):187-192.
- 97. MATHEWS JM, ETHERIDGE AS, BLACK SR: Inhibition of human cytochrome P450 activities by kava extract and kavalactones. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* (2002) 30(11):1153-1157.
- UNGER M, HOLZGRABE U, JACOBSEN W et al.: Inhibition of cytochrome P450 3A4 by extracts and kavalactones of Piper methysticum (Kava-Kava). Planta Med. (2002) 68(12):1055-1058.
- ANKE J, RAMZAN I: Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic drug interactions with Kava (Piper methysticum Forst. f.). J. Ethnopharmacol. (2004) 93(2-3):153-160.
- 100. ANKE J, RAMZAN I: Kava Hepatotoxicity: Are we any closer to the truth? Planta Med. (2004) 70(3):193-196.
- 101. ZOU L, HENDERSON GL, HARKEY MR et al.: Effects of kava (Kava-kava, 'Awa, Yaqona, Piper

- methysticum) on c-DNA-expressed cytochrome P450 enzymes and human cryopreserved hepatocytes. *Phytomedicine* (2004) 11(4):285-294.
- 102. ZOU L, HARKEY MR, HENDERSON GL et al.: Kava does not display metabolic toxicity in a homogeneous cellular assay. Planta Med. (2004) 70(4):289-292.
- 103. BOERNER RJ, KLEMENT S: Attenuation of neuroleptic-induced extrapyramidal side effects by Kava special extract WS 1490. Wien. Med. Wochenschr. (2004) 154(21-22):508-510.
- 104. DAVIES LP, DREW CA, DUFFIELD P et al.: Kava pyrones and resin: studies on GABAA, GABAB and benzodiazepine binding sites in rodent brain. Pharmacol. Toxicol. (1992) 71(2):120-126.
- 105. JUSSOFIE A, SCHMIZ A, HIEMKE C: Kavapyrone enriched extract from Piper methysticum as modulator of the GABA binding site in different regions of rat brain. Psychopharmacology (Berl) (1994) 116(4):469-474.
- 106. JAMIESON DD, DUFFIELD PH, CHENG D et al.: Comparison of the central nervous system activity of the aqueous and lipid extract of kava (Piper methysticum). Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. (1989) 301:66-80.
- 107. BECKMAN SE, SOMMI RW, SWITZER J: Consumer use of St. John's wort: a survey on effectiveness, safety, and tolerability. *Pharmacotherapy* (2000) 20(5):568-574.
- 108. DUFFIELD AM, LIDGARD RO, LOW GK: Analysis of the constituents of Piper methysticum by gas chromatography methane chemical ionization mass spectrometry. New constituents of kava resin. *Biomed. Environ. Mass Spectr.* (1986) 13:305-313.
- 109. DUFFIELD AM, LIDGARD RO: Analysis of kava resin by gas chromatography and electron impact and methane negative ion chemical ionization mass spectrometry. New trace constituents of kava resin. Biomed. Environ. Mass Spectr. (1986) 13:621-626.
- DUVE RN: Gas-liquid chromatographic determination of major constituents of Piper methysticum. *Analyst* (1981) 106:160-165.
- 111. KLOHS MW, KELLER F, WILLIAMS RE et al.: A chemical and pharmacological

- investigation of Piper methysticum Forst. J. Med. Pharm. Chem. (1959) 1(1):95-103.
- SMITH RM: Kava lactones in Piper methysticum from Fiji. *Phytochemistry* (1983) 22:1055-1056.
- 113. SMITH RM, THAKRAR H, AROWOLO A et al.: High-performance liquid chromatography of kava lactones from Piper methysticum. J. Chromatography (1984) 283:303-308.
- 114. YOUNG RL, HYLIN JW, PLUCKNETT DL et al.: Analysis for kawa pyrones in extracts of piper methysticum. Phytochemistry (1966) 5:795-798.
- 115. BRÜGGEMANN F, MEYER HJ: Die analgetische wirkung der Kawa-inhaltsstoffe dihydrokawain und dihydromethysticin. Arzneimittelforschung (1963) 13:407-409.
- HÄNSEL R: Characterization and physiological activity of some Kawa constituents. Pacific Science (1968) 22:293-313.
- 117. KRFTZSCHMAR R, Meyer HJ: Comparative studies on the anticonvulsant activity of the pyrone compounds of Piper methysticum Forst. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. (1969) 177(2):261-277.
- KRETZSCHMAR R, MEYER HJ,
 TESCHENDORF HJ et al.: Antagonistic action of natural 5,6-hydrogenated Kava pyrones against strychnine poisoning and experimental local tetanus. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. (1969) 182(2):251-268.
- 119. KRETZSCHMAR R, MEYER HJ, TESCHENDORF HJ: Strychnine antagonistic potency of pyrone compounds of the kavaroot (Piper methysticum Forst.). Experientia (1970) 26(3):283-284.
- 120. KRETZSCHMAR R, TESCHENDORF HJ, LADOUS A et al.: On the sedative action of the kava rhizome (piper methyst.). Acta Pharmacol. Toxicol. (1971) 29(4):26.
- MEYER HJ: Spasmolytic effect of dihydromethysticin, a constituent of Piper methysticum Forst. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. (1965) 154(2):449-467.
- 122. MEYER HJ, MEYER-BURG J: Hemmung des elektrokrampfes durch die kawa-pyrone dihydromethysticin und dihydrokawain. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. (1964) 148(1-2):97-110.
- 123. SINGH Y, BLUMENTHAL M: Kava: an overview. Distribution, mythology, botany, culture, chemistry and pharmacology of the South Pacific's

- most revered herb. *HerbalGram* (1997) 39(Suppl. 1):34-56.
- 124. BACKHAUSS C, KRIEGLSTEIN J: Extract of kava (Piper methysticum) and its methysticin constituents protect brain tissue against ischemic damage in rodents. Eur. J. Pharmacol. (1992) 215(2-3):265-269.
- 125. KLEISER B, DIEPERS M, WAGNER N et al.: Treatment of intracerebral hematomas with kava in rats. Neurology (1998) 50(4):a398.
- 126. WALDEN J, VON WEGERER J, WINTER U et al.: Effects of kawain and dihydromethysticin on field potential changes in the hippocampus. Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry (1997) 21(4):697-706.
- 127. WALDEN J, VON WEGERER J, WINTER U et al.: Actions of kavain and dihydromethysticin on ipsapirone-induced field potential changes in the hippocampus. Human Psychopharm. (1997) 12:265-270.
- 128. GLEITZ J, GOTTNER N, AMERI A et al.: Kavain inhibits non-stereospecifically veratridine-activated Na* channels. Planta Med. (1996) 62:580-581.
- 129. GLEITZ J, BEILE A, PETERS T: (+/-)-kavain inhibits the veratridine- and KCl-induced increase in intracellular Ca2+ and glutamate-release of rat cerebrocortical synaptosomes. *Neuropharmacology* (1996) 35(2):179-186.
- 130. SEITZ U, SCHULE A, GLEITZ J: [3H]-monoamine uptake inhibition properties of kava pyrones. *Planta Med.* (1997) 63(6):548-549.
- 131. EMSER W, BARTYLLA K: Improvement of sleep quality. Effect of kava extract WS 1490 on the sleep pattern in healthy subjects. Neurologiel Psychiatrie (1991) 5(11):636-642.
- 132. BOONEN G, FERGER B, KUSCHINSKY K et al.: In vivo effects of the kavapyrones (+)-dihydromethysticin and (+/-)- kavain on dopamine, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid, serotonin and 5- hydroxyindoleacetic acid levels in striatal and cortical brain regions. Planta Med. (1998) 64(6):507-510.
- 133. BUCKLEY JP, FURGIUELE AR, O'HARA MJ: Pharmacology of kava. Ethnopharm. Search Psych. Drugs (1967) 1:141-151.
- 134. FURGIUELE AR, KINNARD WJ, ACETO MD et al.: Central activity of aqueous extracts of Piper methysticum (kava). J. Pharm. Sci. (1965) 54:247-252.

- DUFFIELD PH, JAMIESON D: Development of tolerance to kava in mice. Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol. (1991) 18(8):571-578.
- 136. DUFFIELD AM, JAMIESON DD, LIDGARD RO et al.: Identification of some human urinary metabolites of the intoxicating beverage kava. J. Chromatogr. (1989) 475:273-281.
- 137. DUFFIELD PH, JAMIESON DD, DUFFIELD AM: Effect of aqueous and lipid-soluble extracts of kava on the conditioned avoidance response in rats. Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther. (1989) 301:81-90.
- 138. BfArM notice to manufactures May 2005.

Websites

- http://www.forumsec.org.fj/division/TID/ Kava_Rpt2003/ExecutiveSummary.pdf
 Kava Report 2003. 'In-Depth Investigation Into EU Member States Market Restriction on Kava Products.' (2003).
- 202. http://www.analyze-realize.com/ Publications/Kava.en.html. Analyze & realize: Kava, Too Good to be Disregarded (2005).
- 203. http://www.uni-muenster.de/Chemie.pb/ Kava/kavaframe.html
 Is Kava really hepatotoxic? An analysis of the known data on adverse effects of kava preparations on the liver. Institute of Pharmaceutical Biology and Phytochemistry (2005).
- 204. http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/english/protection/ warnings/2002/2002_56e.htm Health Canada: Health Canada issues a stop-sale order for all products containing kaya (2002).
- 205. http://www.nutraingredients-usa.com/ news/news-ng.asp?id=23246-germanybans-kava Nutra ingredients USA: Germany bans kava kava after liver damage fears (2002).
- http://www.tga.gov.au/import/kavainfo.htm Australian government department of health and ageing therapeutic goods administration (2004).
- http://www.food.gov.uk/foodindustry/ regulation/ria/106032
 Food Standards Agency: Kava-kava in food (England) Regulations (2002).
- 208. http://www.raps.org/s_raps/ scc_RANews_Detail.asp?TRACKID=&CI D=116&DID=17199 Regulatory Affairs Professionals Society

Safety Review of kava (Piper methysticum) by the Natural Standard Research Collaboration

- (RAPS): Britain Voluntarily Suspends Kava-kava Sale. (2005).
- 209. http://www.nzfsa.govt.nz/publications/ media-releases/2002/2002-08-16-dietarysupplements.htm New Zealand Food And Safety Authority: Kava as a dietary supplement – Privileged Statement issued under delegated authority from the Director-General of Agriculture and Forestry pursuant to Section 37 of the Food Act 1981 (2002).
- 210. http://foodhaccp.com/ msgboard.mv?parm_func=showmsg+parm_ msgnum=1010361 Nutra Ingredients: South Africa issues kava recall (2003).
- 211. http://www.wales-legislation.hmso.gov.uk/ legislation/wales/wsi2002/20023157e.htm The National Assembly for Wales: The

- Kava-kava in Food (Wales) (Revocation) Regulations (2002).
- 212. http://www.wales-legislation.hmso.gov.uk/ legislation/wales/wsi2003/20032755e.htm The National Assembly for Wales: The Kava-kava in Food (Wales) (Revocation) Regulations (2003).
- [201-212] are regulatory publications.

Affiliation

Catherine Ulbricht^{†1} PharmD,
Ethan Basch² MD, Heather Boon³ BScPhm,
PhD, Edzard Ernst⁴ MD, PhD,
Paul Hammerness⁵ MD,
David Sollars⁶ MAc, HMC,
Candy Tsourounis⁷ PharmD,
Jen Woods⁸ BSc & Stephen Bent⁷ MD

†Author for correspondence

¹Natural Standard Research Collaboration,
1 Broadway, 14th Floor, Cambridge,

MA 02142, USA Tel: +1 617 758 4270; Fax: +1 617 758 4274; E-mail: Info@naturalstandard.com ²Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, 1275 York Avenue, New York, NY 10021, USA ³University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 1A1, Canada ⁴University of Exeter, The Queen's Drive, Exeter, Devon, EX4 4QJ, UK ⁵Harvard Medical School, Harvard Medical School, 25 Shattuck Street, Boston, MA 02115, USA ⁶New England School of Acupuncture, 40 Belmont Street, Watertown, MA 02472, USA ⁷University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA ⁸Northeastern University, 360 Huntington

Avenue, Boston, MA 02115, USA